

# Mock RTI on Education Scam

GROUP 4

Adiel Rityngkai Kynta

Lamriche Kharmon

Saljagring G. Momin

Raj Kumar Hajong

Wankhraw Kupar Kharbuli

**II. RTI application filed by a resident of Ampati on 30 June 2019:**

- 1) Where are the 10 centres involved in the teacher's recruitment scam, located in Meghalaya?
- 2) Copies of the degree certificates and marksheets submitted by the "tainted teachers" at the time of application;
- 3) Who all have been examined as witnesses by CBI in this case? Give their names and residential addresses;
- 4) Copies of all reports submitted by CBI to the State Government in the course of the investigation of the recruitment scam;
- 5) Why has the Delhi office of CBI not given the nod for filing chargesheet against the accused?
- 6) I want the complete file of the case which is currently pending in the Meghalaya High Court in this case.

**III. *Please draft a decision in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act as the PIO.***

Sl. No	Information Requested	Information given and details of documents, if any, furnished. (OR) If information is denied, reason for denial and relevant Section of RTI Act.
1.	Where are the 10 centres involved in the teacher's recruitment scam, located in Meghalaya?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. South Garo Hills (Bagmara centre),</li> <li>2. West Khasi Hills (Mairang centre),</li> <li>3. North Garo Hills (Resubelpara centre),</li> <li>4. East Jaiñtia Hills (Khliehriat centre),</li> <li>5. South West Khasi Hills (Mawkyrwat centre),</li> <li>6. West Khasi Hills (Nongstoin centre),</li> <li>7. Ri Bhoi (Nongpoh centre)</li> <li>8. East Khasi Hills (Sohra centre).</li> <li>9. Shillong Sadar</li> <li>10. Amlarem</li> </ol>
2.	Copies of the degree certificates and mark sheets submitted by the "tainted teachers" at the time of application.	<p>SECTION 8(1)(j)</p> <p>Information which relates to personal information the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or interest, or which would cause unwarranted invasion of the privacy of the individual unless the Central Public Information Officer or the State Public Information Officer or the appellate authority, as the case may be, is satisfied that the larger public interest justifies the disclosure of such information:</p> <p>Provided that the information which cannot be denied to the Parliament or a State Legislature shall not be denied to any person.</p> <p><b>(the applicant may go to the appellate authority if not satisfied with the replies)</b></p>

Sl. NO	Information Requested	Information given and details of documents, if any, furnished. (OR) If information is denied, reason for denial and relevant Section of RTI Act.
3.	Who all have been examined as witnesses by CBI in this case? Give their names and residential addresses;	<p>SECTION 8(1)(g) information, the disclosure of which would endanger the life or physical safety of any person or identify the source of information or assistance given in confidence,</p> <p>SECTION 8(1)(h),</p> <p>Information which would impede the process of investigation or apprehension or prosecution of offenders</p> <p>SECTION 8(1)(j) information which relates to personal information the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or interest, or which would cause unwarranted invasion of the privacy of the individual unless the Central Public Information Officer or the State Public Information Officer or the appellate authority, as the case may be, is satisfied that the larger public interest justifies the disclosure of such information</p> <p>(the applicant may go to the appellate authority if not satisfied with the replies)</p>

Sl. No	Information Requested	Information given and details of documents, if any, furnished. (OR) If information is denied, reason for denial and relevant Section of RTI Act.
4.	Copies of all reports submitted by CBI to the State Government in the course of the investigation of the recruitment scam	The CBI has already charge sheeted former Education Minister Ampareen Lyngdoh, retired government officials, JD Sangma and AI Lyngdoh for manipulating score sheets of candidates, who had appeared for the tests. ( <a href="https://theshillongtimes.com/2020/09/23/high-court-relief-to-teachers-in-education-scam/">https://theshillongtimes.com/2020/09/23/high-court-relief-to-teachers-in-education-scam/</a> )
5.	Why has the Delhi office of CBI not given the nod for filing chargesheet against the accused?	Accused charge sheeted, Information in public domain. (the applicant may go to the appellate authority if not satisfied with the replies)
6.	Complete file of the case which is currently pending in the Meghalaya High Court in this case.	SECTION 23 No court shall entertain any suit, application or other proceeding in respect of any order made under this Act and no such order shall be called in question otherwise than by way of an appeal under this Act.

# White Ink Scam in Meghalaya A RTI Success Story



THANK YOU  
KHUBLEI  
MITHELA

